

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

ZEROCLICK, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., AND LG  
ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A.,  
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 6:19-cv-00571

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
AND LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.**

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, in which Plaintiff Zeroclick, LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Zeroclick”) makes the following allegations against Defendants LG Electronics, Inc.. and LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”):

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This complaint arises from Defendant’s unlawful infringement of the following United States patents owned by Zeroclick: United States Patent No. 7,818,691 (“’691 Patent”) and United States Patent No. 8,549,443 (“’443 Patent”) together the “Asserted Patents.”

2. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of a portfolio of patents containing the inventions of Dr. Nes Irvine, a medical doctor who possessed the prescient vision to develop touch-only user interface technologies that would enable significant benefits to his medical work and any field where users interacted with graphical user interfaces (“GUIs”). Dr. Irvine filed applications that

eventually became his “Zeroclick” U.S. Patents in the year 2000.

### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Zeroclick, LLC is a Texas limited liability company, having a business address at 719 W Front St., Ste. 244, Tyler, TX 75702.

4. Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Korea with its principal place of business at LG Twin Towers, 128 Yeoui-daero, Yeongdungpo-gu, Seoul 150-721, Republic of Korea. On information and belief, this Defendant may be served with process at its principal place of business. Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. designs, makes, and sells many different products throughout the world for consumer use, including wireless mobile communications devices. Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. is a parent corporation of Defendant LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc.

5. Defendant LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. and is a Delaware corporation with regular and established places of business in Texas at 9420 Research Blvd, Austin, Texas 78759; 21251-2155 Eagle Parkway, Fort Worth, Texas 76177; and 14901 Beach St, Fort Worth, TX 76177. Defendant LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc. is registered to do business in the State of Texas and has been since at least April 3, 1984. Defendant LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc. may be served with process at its registered agent for service of process at United States Corporation Company, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701. Defendant LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. distributes wireless mobile communication devices to customers throughout the United States. On information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc. imports such wireless communication devices from its parent corporation Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. in South Korea, where they are designed and made

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants in this action because Defendants have committed acts within this District giving rise to this action, and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Defendants, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries, have committed and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District by, among other things, importing, offering to sell, and selling products that infringe the asserted patents.

8. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). Defendants are registered to do business in Texas, and upon information and belief, Defendants have transacted business in this District and have committed acts of direct and indirect infringement in this District by, among other things, making, using, offering to sell, selling, and importing products that infringe the asserted patents. Defendants have regular and established places of businesses in this District, including at 9420 Research Blvd, Austin, Texas 78759.

**COUNT I**

**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,818,691**

9. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

10. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of United States Patent No. 7,818,691 (“the ‘691 patent”) titled “Zeroclick.” The ‘691 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent

and Trademark Office on October 19, 2010. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee, possessing all substantial rights, to the '691 patent. A true and correct copy of the '691 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

11. On information and belief, Defendants make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import certain touch screen cellular telephone and tablet products that utilize Google's Android software ("Accused Products"), such as the LG V30, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '691 Patent.

12. Defendants also knowingly and intentionally induce infringement of one or more claims of the '691 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendants have had knowledge of the '691 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the '691 Patent, Defendants continue to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '691 Patent. Defendants do so knowing and intending that their customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendants also continue to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite their knowledge of the '691 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing their customers to infringe the '691 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

13. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of one or more claims of the '691 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 2 of the '691 Patent to representative Accused Product, the LG V30 is attached as Exhibit 2.

14. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendants have injured Plaintiff and is liable for infringement of the '691

Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

15. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '691 Patent, Plaintiff is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendants' infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendants, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

16. Defendants' infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Plaintiff, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '691 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

## **COUNT II**

### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,549,443**

17. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

18. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of United States Patent No. 8,549,443 titled "Zero-click." The '443 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 1, 2013. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee, possessing all substantial rights, to the '443 patent. A true and correct copy of the '443 Patent is attached as Exhibit 3.

19. On information and belief, Defendants make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import certain touch screen cellular telephone and tablet products that utilize Google's Android software ("Accused Products"), such as the LG V30, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '443 Patent.

20. Defendants also knowingly and intentionally induce infringement of one or more

claims of the '443 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendants have had knowledge of the '443 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the '443 Patent, Defendants continue to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '443 Patent. Defendants do so knowing and intending that their customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendants also continue to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite their knowledge of the '443 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing their customers to infringe the '443 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

21. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of one or more claims of the '443 Patent. A claim charts comparing independent claim 19 of the '443 Patent to representative Accused Product, the LG V30, is attached as Exhibit 4.

22. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendants have injured Plaintiff and is liable for infringement of the '443 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

23. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '443 Patent, Plaintiff is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendants' infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendants, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

24. Defendants' infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Plaintiff, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '443 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that

come within the scope of the patent claims

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- a. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendants have infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '691 Patent and '443 Patent;
- b. A permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from further acts of infringement of the '691 Patent and '443 Patent;
- c. A judgment and order requiring Defendants, jointly and severally, to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '691 Patent and '443 Patent; and
- d. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to Plaintiff, including without limitation, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- e. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendants; and
- f. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: October 4, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian D. Ledahl

Marc A. Fenster, CA SBN 181067

mfenster@raklaw.com  
Brian D. Ledahl, CA SBN 186579  
bledahl@raklaw.com  
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT  
12424 Wilshire Blvd. 12th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
Phone: (310) 826-7474

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Zeroclick, LLC*